metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Yu-Guang Li,^a Hai-Liang Zhu^a* and Edward R. T. Tiekink^b*

^aInstitute of Functional Biomolecules, State Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Biotechnology, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, The University of Texas at San Antonio, 6900 North Loop 1604 West, San Antonio, Texas 78249-0698, USA

Correspondence e-mail: zhuhl@nju.edu.cn, edward.tiekink@utsa.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.026 wR factor = 0.073 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. Tetrakis(diethylenetriammonium) tris[hexacyanoferrate(II)] octahydrate

The asymmetric unit of the title complex, $(C_4H_{16}N_3)_4$ -[Fe(CN)₆]₃·8H₂O, comprises two [H₃N(CH₂)₂NH₂(CH₂)₂-NH₃]³⁺ cations, 1.5 octahedral [Fe(CN)₆]⁴⁻ anions and four solvent water molecules; one anion is located on a center of inversion. Extensive hydrogen bonding of the types O– H···O, N and N–H···N, involving all components of the structure, leads to a three-dimensional array.

Comment

Transition metal complexes containing ferromagnetic centers have been studied extensively for many years (*e.g.* Batten & Robson, 1998; Evans *et al.*, 1999; Hagrman *et al.*, 1999; Yu *et al.*, 2000) as these complexes may play an important role in various applications such as electrochromic devices, biological sensors, photoelectrochemical devices and eletrocatalysts. Polynuclear cyanide complexes and double metal cyanide complexes (DMC) are considered to be good electrocatalysts in fuel cells and are active species in propylene oxide polymerization (Abe *et al.*, 2004). Our interest in this area led to the preparation and crystallographic characterization of the title complex, (I).



The structure of (I) (Fig. 1) comprises [H₃N(CH₂)₂NH₂- $(CH_2)_2 NH_3]^{3+}$ cations, $[Fe(CN)_6]^{4-}$ anions and water molecules in the ratio 4:3:8; one anion, containing Fe1, is located on a center of inversion. The anions show the expected N_6 octahedral coordination with only minor deviations from the ideal geometry (Table 1). By contrast, the conformations of the cations differ slightly. In the cation containing atom N10, one terminal ammonium group is coplanar with the central NC_2 plane but the other occupies a position approximately normal to this plane. In the second cation, containing N13, both terminal ammonium groups are perpendicular to the central NC₂ plane. This difference between the cations is quantified in the torsion angle data, in particular in the corresponding N10-C10-C11-N11 and N13-C14-C15-N14 torsion angles of −173.48 (12) and −81.99 (19)°, respectively.

Extensive hydrogen bonding is found in the crystal structure involving all constituents. Geometric data associated with these interactions are summarized in Table 2. Each solvent water molecule is involved in two donor interactions so that Received 27 February 2006 Accepted 1 March 2006

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved





The molecular structures of components of (I) {(*a*) and (*b*) [Fe(CN)₆]^{4–}, (*c*) and (*d*) [H₃N(CH₂)₂NH₂(CH₂)₂NH₃]³⁺}, showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme. In (*a*), atom Fe1 lies on a center of inversion and unlabeled atoms are related by (-x, 1 - y, 1 - z).



Figure 2

Packing diagram for (I) (Crystal Impact, 2002). Colour code: Fe (orange), O (red), N (blue), C (greay) and H (green).

the O1 water molecule bridges a pair of Fe1- and Fe2containing anions. In the same way, the O2 and O4 water molecules link three Fe2-containing anions *via* a common terminal cyano N6 atom that accepts two hydrogen bonds from water molecules. A fourth water molecule, containing atom O3, links an Fe2-containing anion to an O4 water molecule. Each acidic N-bound H atom forms at least one hydrogen bond, with N12-H12C being bifurcated. Three of these interactions involve water molecules, indicating that each water molecule is involved in three hydrogen bonds. There are a large number of $N-H \cdots N$ interactions, of varying strength, so that each cyanide atom functions as a hydrogenbond acceptor. The most prominent $C-H \cdots N$ interaction in the structure, Table 2, also involves a terminal cyano N6 atom. The majority of cyanide N atoms accept two H atoms, but atoms N6 and N7 accept three H atoms and atom N9 accepts four. It is noted that the additional hydrogen bonds for the latter atoms are significantly weaker than those formed by atoms involved in only two hydrogen-bonding interactions (Table 2). The net result of the myriad of hydrogen-bonding interactions is the formation of a stable three-dimensional array with hydrogen-bonding contacts, mediated by cations and water molecules linking the FeN₆ octahedra. This leads to a discernible pattern of Fe1- and Fe2-containing octahedra, so that successive planes of Fe1N₆ octahedra, stacked along the caxis, are separated by two planes of Fe2N₆ octahedra as illustrated in Fig. 2.

Experimental

Reagents were available commercially and were used without further purification. Williamson's violet {KFe[Fe(CN)₆], 0.5 mmol, 158.2 mg} and diethylenetriamine (0.5 mmol, 51.5 mg) were dissolved in a water/methanol (2:1 ν/ν) solution (10.0 ml). Hydrochloric acid (1.5 mmol) was added to this solution until the pH was in the range 6.8–7. The mixture was stirred for approximately 10 min to obtain a clear yellow solution. After keeping the resulting solution in air for a week, brown crystals were formed. These were isolated, washed with anhydrous methanol three times and dried under vacuum (yield 55%). Found: C 33.18, H 6.70, N 34.80%; C₃₄H₈₀Fe₃N₃₀O₈ requires: C, 33.89; H, 6.70; N, 34.89%.

Crystal data

-	
$(C_4H_{16}N_3)_4[Fe(CN)_6]_3 \cdot 8H_2O$	Z = 1
$M_r = 1204.76$	$D_x = 1.445 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, P1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 9.4971 (16) Å	Cell parameters from 3961
b = 9.6841 (16) Å	reflections
c = 16.098 (3) Å	$\theta = 2.2-28.3^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 106.158 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 102.418 \ (2)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$\gamma = 90.951 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Block, brown
V = 1384.2 (4) Å ³	$0.48 \times 0.33 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Siemens SMART CCD areadetector diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 1996) $T_{\min} = 0.686, T_{\max} = 0.883$ 12848 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0428P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	+ 0.3465P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.073$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.05	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
4817 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.44 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
340 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

4817 independent reflections

 $R_{\rm int}=0.019$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^{\circ}$

 $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$

 $\begin{array}{l} k=-12 \rightarrow 12 \\ l=-20 \rightarrow 21 \end{array}$

4504 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Tab	le	1
-----	----	---

Sciected geometric parameters (11,).	Selected	geometric	parameters ((À, °').
---------------------------------------	----------	-----------	--------------	--------	----

Fe1-C1	1.9085 (15)	Fe2-C6	1.9186 (17)
Fe1-C2	1.9172 (15)	Fe2-C7	1.9144 (17)
Fe1-C3	1.9081 (16)	Fe2-C8	1.9372 (17)
Fe2-C4	1.9146 (16)	Fe2-C9	1.8895 (16)
Fe2-C5	1.9009 (16)		
C1-Fe1-C2	91.56 (6)	C5-Fe2-C6	87.16 (7)
C1-Fe1-C3	89.79 (6)	C5-Fe2-C7	87.12 (7)
C1-Fe1-C2 ⁱ	88.44 (6)	C5-Fe2-C8	176.56 (6)
C1-Fe1-C3 ⁱ	90.21 (6)	C5-Fe2-C9	89.88 (6)
C2-Fe1-C3	87.60 (6)	C6-Fe2-C7	91.13 (7)
C2-Fe1-C3 ⁱ	92.40 (6)	C6-Fe2-C8	90.28 (7)
C4-Fe2-C5	89.72 (7)	C6-Fe2-C9	176.68 (6)
C4-Fe2-C6	93.05 (7)	C7-Fe2-C8	95.24 (7)
C4-Fe2-C7	174.63 (6)	C7-Fe2-C9	87.22 (7)
C4-Fe2-C8	88.12 (7)	C8-Fe2-C9	92.74 (6)
C4-Fe2-C9	88.43 (6)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O1-H1A\cdots N2^{ii}$	0.85	2.17	3.0160 (17)	175
$O1-H1B\cdots N9^{ii}$	0.85	2.43	3.0781 (16)	134
$O2-H2A\cdots N6^{iii}$	0.85	2.41	3.128 (2)	142
$O2-H2B\cdots N7^{iv}$	0.85	2.20	3.022 (2)	161
$O3-H3A\cdots N7$	0.85	2.29	3.071 (2)	154
O3−H3B···O4	0.85	1.97	2.809 (2)	169
$O4-H4A\cdots N8^{v}$	0.85	2.16	2.991 (2)	168
$O4-H4B\cdots N6^{iii}$	0.85	1.93	2.764 (2)	165
$N10-H10A\cdots N2^{ii}$	0.89	2.03	2.867 (2)	155
$N10-H10B\cdots N9^{vi}$	0.89	2.11	2.984 (2)	169
N10−H10C···N4	0.89	2.03	2.905 (2)	168
N11-H11A···N9	0.90	2.01	2.823 (2)	149
$N11 - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot N3^{vii}$	0.90	1.93	2.780 (2)	157
$N12-H12A\cdots N5^{iii}$	0.89	1.95	2.793 (2)	158
N12−H12B····O3	0.89	1.84	2.7202 (19)	170
N12−H12C···N3	0.89	2.29	2.949 (2)	131
N12−H12C···N9	0.89	2.60	3.219 (2)	128
$N13-H13A\cdots N1^{vii}$	0.89	2.16	3.024 (2)	163
$N13-H13B \cdot \cdot \cdot N8$	0.89	2.04	2.931 (2)	175
$N13-H13C \cdot \cdot \cdot N7^{ii}$	0.89	2.08	2.953 (2)	167
$N14-H14A\cdots N1^{vii}$	0.90	1.89	2.777 (2)	169

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D{\cdots}A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N14-H14B\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.90	1.85	2.7357 (18)	168
$N15-H15A\cdots N4^{iii}$	0.89	2.05	2.849 (2)	149
$N15 - H15B \cdot \cdot \cdot N5^{viii}$	0.89	2.09	2.789 (2)	134
$N15-H15C\cdots O1^{iii}$	0.89	1.99	2.848 (2)	162
$C16-H16A\cdots N6^{v}$	0.97	2.55	3.393 (3)	146
Symmetry codes: (ii)	$r \perp 1$ v_{-7}	(iii) r v –	1 z: (iv) - r - i	$y \perp 1 - \tau$ (y)

Symmetry codes: (ii) x + 1, y, z; (iii) x, y - 1, z; (iv) -x, -y + 1, -z; (v) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z; (vi) -x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1; (vii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (viii) x + 1, y - 1, z.

All H atoms were allowed to ride on their parent atoms in the riding model approximation at distances of 0.97 (C–H), 0.89-0.90 (N–H) and 0.85 Å (O–H), and with U_{iso} (H) values of $1.2U_{eq}$ (C) and $1.5U_{eq}$ (N,O).

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

This project was sponsored by the Scientific Research Foundation for returned overseas Chinese scholars.

References

- Abe, T., Nagasaka, T. & Tajiri, A. (2004). J. Inorg. Organomet. Polym. 14, 169– 176.
- Batten, S. R. & Robson, R. (1998). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37, 1460-1494.
- Crystal Impact (2002). *DIAMOND*. Version 2.1e. Crystal Impact GbR, Postfach 1251, D-53002 Bonn, Germany.
- Evans, O. R., Xiong, R.-G., Wang, Z., Wong, G. K. & Lin, W. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 536–538.
- Hagrman, P. J., Hagrman, D. & Zubieta, J. (1999). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 38, 2639–2684.
- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Yu, S.-Y., Kusukawa, T., Biradha, K. & Fujita, M. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **122**, 2665–2666.